

Comparison of Models for Ball Bearing Dynamic Capacity and Life

PRADEEP K. GUPTA,¹ FRED B. OSWALD,² and ERWIN V. ZARETSKY²

¹PKG Inc., Clifton Park 12065-7714, New York

²NASA Glenn Research Center, Cleveland 44135, Ohio

Generalized formulations for dynamic capacity and life of ball bearings, based on the models introduced by Lundberg and Palmgren and Zaretsky, have been developed and implemented in the bearing dynamics computer code ADORE. Unlike the original Lundberg-Palmgren dynamic capacity equation, where the elastic properties are part of the life constant, the generalized formulations permit variation of elastic properties of the interacting materials. The newly updated Lundberg-Palmgren model allows prediction of life as a function of elastic properties. For elastic properties similar to those of AISI 52100 bearing steel, both the original and updated Lundberg-Palmgren models provide identical results. A comparison between the Lundberg-Palmgren and the Zaretsky models shows that at relatively light loads the Zaretsky model predicts a much higher life than the Lundberg-Palmgren model. As the load increases, the Zaretsky model provides a much faster drop-off in life. This is because the Zaretsky model is much more sensitive to load than the Lundberg-Palmgren model. The generalized implementation, where all model parameters can be varied, provides an effective tool for future model validation and enhancement in bearing life prediction capabilities.

KEY WORDS

Rolling-element Bearing; Bearing Life Prediction; Rolling-element Fatigue

INTRODUCTION

For over a century, rolling element fatigue has been the criterion for determining the life of rolling-element bearings. Classical rolling-element fatigue is stress or load cyclic dependent, beginning as a crack at a depth below the race or rolling-element surface. The crack propagates into a crack network that reaches the contacting surface to form a spall limited in area and depth

of penetration (Moyer and Zaretsky (1)). This failure mode can be classified as high-cycle fatigue where most of the bearing life is related to crack initiation with a relatively short time related to crack propagation. Rolling-element fatigue is extremely variable but statistically predictable, depending on the steel type, steel processing, heat treatment, bearing manufacturing and type, lubricant used, and operating conditions (Moyer and Zaretsky (1)). Sadeghi, et al. (2) provide an excellent review of this failure mode.

In 1924, A. Palmgren (3) began and later together with G. Lundberg in 1947 and 1952 (Lundberg and Palmgren (4), (5)), developed what is now referred to as the Lundberg-Palmgren model for rolling bearing life prediction. The Lundberg-Palmgren analysis is based on the Weibull fracture strength and life models (Weibull (6)–(10)) and classical rolling-element fatigue (Zaretsky (11)). In 1953, the Lundberg-Palmgren life model was adopted as the rolling bearing life prediction standard by ANSI/ABMA (12), (13) and later by ISO (14), (15). It is currently the basis for all bearing life prediction worldwide.

In 1952, A. Burton Jones (16) was the first person to consider the effect of centrifugal loading on the life of angular-contact ball bearings. His analysis modified the bearing life analysis of Lundberg and Palmgren. Subsequently, in 1959, Jones (17) published his method for determining the kinematics of a ball and sliding friction in a high-speed, angular-contact ball bearing. Following this analysis, in 1960, Jones (18) published a completely general solution for both ball and roller bearing kinematics, loading, and life. For the first time, a bearing analysis incorporated elastic deflection of the bearing shaft and supporting structure as well as centrifugal and gyroscopic loading of the rolling elements under combined loading and high-speed operation (Jones (19)). The solution, which was accomplished numerically by iterative techniques, was programmed by Jones for an IBM-704 digital computer. This was the first rolling-element bearing computer code and a major technical achievement.

The Lundberg-Palmgren (4), (5) bearing life analysis as well as that of Jones (19) was benchmarked to a pre-1940 rolling bearing life database. With advancements in materials, steel processing, manufacturing techniques, and lubrication beginning in the early 1950s, rolling bearing fatigue life has significantly increased. Fatigue life predictions from the Lundberg-Palmgren model began to significantly underestimate bearing life (Zaretsky (20)).

Manuscript received March 31, 2014

Manuscript accepted April 2, 2015

Review led by Michael Kotzalas

Color versions of one or more of the figures in the article can be found online at www.tandfonline.com/utrb.

NOMENCLATURE

A = Original Lundberg-Palmgren constant in load capacity equation, $\text{N/m}^{1.80}$ ($\text{lbf/in}^{1.80}$)
 A_{LP} = Updated Lundberg-Palmgren constant in load capacity equation, $\text{N/m}^{1.80}$ ($\text{lbf/in}^{1.80}$)
 A_Z = Zaretsky constant in load capacity equation, $\text{N/m}^{1.332}$ ($\text{lbf/in}^{1.332}$)
 a = Semimajor width of Hertzian contact area perpendicular to direction of rolling, m (in)
 b = Semiminor width of Hertzian contact area in direction of rolling, m (in)
 c = Shearing stress-life exponent
 D = Diameter of rolling element, m (in)
 d = Diameter of rolling element running track, m (in)
 d_m = Pitch diameter, m (in)
 f = Raceway curvature factor: ratio of groove radius to ball diameter
 h = Exponent for depth to critical shearing stress
 K = Empirical proportionality constant in fundamental Eq. [6]
 L_i = Individual contact life, millions of rotating race revolutions
 L_R = Life of rotating race life, millions of rotating race revolutions
 L_S = Life of stationary race, millions of rotating race revolutions
 L_{10} = 10% Bearing life: life at which 90% of a population survives, millions of rotating race revolutions

m = Weibull slope or modulus
 N = Life, number of stress cycles
 n = Number of rolling-elements
 p_H = Maximum Hertz stress, Pa (lbf/in^2)
 Q = Normal force (load) between rolling-element and raceway, N (lbf)
 Q_c = Dynamic radial load capacity, N (lb)
 S = Probability of survival
 u = Contact frequency of rolling element per revolution of bearing race
 V_o = Stressed volume, m^3 (in^3)
 z = Distance below surface to critical shearing stress, m (in.)
 α = Contact angle
 $\gamma = D \cos(\alpha)/d_m$
 ζ = Ratio of critical shear stress to maximum Hertz stress, τ/p_H
 $\dot{\theta}$ = Rolling element orbital velocity
 λ_E = Elastic property ratio
 ξ = Nondimensional depth to critical shearing stress, z/b
 τ = Critical shearing stress, Pa (lbf/in^2)
 Ω = Angular velocity of bearing race

Subscripts

i = Designates race (1 = inner race, 2 = outer race)
 j = Designates rolling element number (from 1 to n)
 m = Designates maximum shearing stress or depth of this stress
 o = Designates orthogonal shearing stress or depth of this stress

In 1987, W. J. Anderson (21) discussed the limitations of the Lundberg-Palmgren life model. He stated that

in the decades since its development a number of shortcomings have become apparent. These shortcomings, which manifest themselves as discrepancies between predicted and actual bearing behavior, are partly due to limitations of the original model in accounting for all relevant phenomena and partly due to continuously advancing bearing technology.

These limitations in the Lundberg-Palmgren life model have stimulated research on more comprehensive life models such as those of Ioannides and Harris (22) and Zaretsky (23), (24). The limitations have also resulted in the introduction of life factors that attempt to correct for material and lubrication effects in the Lundberg-Palmgren life model (Bamberger, et al. (25), Zaretsky (26); Tallian (27)).

Ioannides and Harris (22) modified the Lundberg-Palmgren model by introducing a shearing stress fatigue limit, below which the bearing life is assumed to be infinite. Thus, a limiting shear stress was subtracted from the base shear stress before computing the life (Zaretsky (11)).

Zaretsky (11), (23), (24) made some fundamental changes to the Lundberg-Palmgren model. First, the Weibull equation was rewritten to make the shear-stress exponent independent of the Weibull slope. Second, the maximum shearing stress, rather than

the orthogonal shearing stress, was chosen as the critical shearing stress. Third, the life dependence on the depth of the critical shear stress was eliminated. Awaiting further experimental validation of these fundamental modifications, the Lundberg-Palmgren model has continued to be the dominant life prediction model, and life correction factors have been developed to reflect the behavior of improved materials in varied operating environments (Zaretsky (26); Tallian (27)).

As the modern bearing steels and manufacturing techniques have advanced, bearing life has increased several-fold in comparison to that achievable in the 1940s. However, demands on operating environments in terms of speed, load, and temperatures have become significantly more adverse. This has resulted in renewed interest in fatigue life modeling. Currently, the three most referenced fatigue models are Lundberg-Palmgren (4), (5), Ioannides-Harris (22), and Zaretsky (11), (23), (24). In the Lundberg-Palmgren model, as originally developed, certain material properties and survival statistics are embedded in the model constants. In addition, simplifying assumptions were made to implement the elastic contact solutions. Thus, the life factor approach has been the only viable approach to update the life prediction from this model, as it is currently used.

The shear stress fatigue limit concept proposed by Ioannides and Harris (22) has been a very controversial subject. Several researchers (Palmgren (28); Shimizu (29); Zaretsky (30)) have demonstrated that a realistic fatigue limit on rolling bearing

materials does not really exist. Thus, an input shear stress fatigue limit in the Ioannides and Harris (22) model may lack physical significance.

The Zaretsky model attempts to physically modify the fatigue process as a whole. Because the actual data used to develop the Lundberg-Palmgren model are not available in the open literature to revisit the model at a fundamental level, it is essential to reformulate the Lundberg-Palmgren life equation in a more generalized fashion in order to establish the physical significance of each element of the model. Likewise, the Zaretsky model required development so that it can be applied to practical bearings.

Based upon the above discussion, the objectives of the investigation reported were to (1) develop generalized expressions for both the Lundberg-Palmgren and the Zaretsky models; (2) implement the models in a bearing performance simulation computer code; (3) carry out a parametric model evaluation to derive the model constants from available experimental data; and (4) compare the model predictions over the operating conditions as a function of key model elements.

In order to achieve these objectives, the bearing dynamics computer code ADORE (Gupta (31)), which is based on the bearing dynamic analysis of Jones (19), was used as a baseline code. Published experimental data for a jet engine main-shaft bearing (Bamberger, et al. (32); Zaretsky and Bamberger (33); Bamberger, et al. (34), (35)) were used to derive the constants in the life equation. Model predictions were then compared with another set of high-speed turbine engine bearing life data (Bamberger, et al. (34)). The groundwork developed in this investigation is intended to provide a starting point for future model development and validation as experimental data on newer materials become available.

Lundberg-Palmgren Model

Based on very simple observations, where mechanical failures were caused by some functions of applied stress and the stressed volume, Weibull (6), (7) introduced the Weibull distribution function for strength and life analysis, which is presently very commonly used in a wide range of applications. In very general terms, the distribution has three parameters, a location parameter, a scaling factor, and a shape parameter. The location parameter defines a base time with respect to which life may be measured; the scaling factor is a reference life at a defined survival probability, relative to which reliability at any arbitrary survival probability may be measured; and the shape parameter essentially defines the shape of the distribution, more commonly known as the Weibull slope. The statistical distribution, of course, does not define any functions of stress or the volume stressed. Following this fundamental development, Weibull (8), (9) applied this distribution to fatigue and other types of failures. Based on this work, Lundberg and Palmgren (4), (5) applied the Weibull distribution to rolling bearing failures.

After a statistical analysis of a large amount of experimental bearing life data, it was postulated that in addition to subsurface shearing stress and the stressed volume, as suggested by Weibull, rolling-element fatigue was dependent on the depth at which the fracture is initiated. For this analysis, the location parameter was

set to zero and the characteristic life was determined with 90% survival probability, commonly known as L_{10} life. Thus, based on a two-parameter Weibull distribution, bearing life, N , in stress cycles, with a probability S , was written as a product of an empirical function of the maximum subsurface orthogonal shear stress, τ_o , depth below the surface, z_o , at which the maximum orthogonal shear stress occurs, and the volume, V_o , of the material stressed:

$$\ln \frac{1}{S} \propto \tau_o^c N^m z_o^{-h} V_o \ln \frac{1}{0.90} \tag{1}$$

Here c , m , and h , are empirical exponents determined by fitting experimental data to the model. The factor $\ln \frac{1}{0.90}$ results from the fact that life at arbitrary survival probability, S , is normalized to 90% probability of survival.

Consider a concentrated (Hertzian) contact between two elastic bodies, with prescribed geometries and material properties, subjected to an applied load Q . For a generalized three-dimensional contact, Harris and Kotzalas (36) have documented the elastic solutions in terms of contact half widths a and b , normal to and along the rolling directions, respectively; the Hertzian contact stress, p_H ; and the subsurface shear stress distribution as a function of depth below the surface. The relation between the maximum orthogonal shear stress, τ_o , and the depth, z_o , at which it occurs is illustrated in Fig. 1 as a function of the ratio of the contact half-widths.

For most ball bearings, the ratio of the semiminor axis b of the Hertzian contact ellipse to the semimajor axis a is approximately 0.15 ($b/a \approx 0.15$). See Fig. 1. Thus,

$$\frac{2\tau_o}{p_H} \approx 0.497 \approx 0.5 \tag{2a}$$

$$\frac{z_o}{b} \approx 0.492 \approx 0.5. \tag{2b}$$

Although Lundberg and Palmgren (1), (4) used the orthogonal shear stress, τ_o , it may be convenient to generalize the model in terms of any shear stress and the depth at which it occurs. Thus, in Eq. [1] τ_o and z_o may be simply replaced by τ and z .




Fig. 1—Normalized orthogonal shearing stress and its depth below the Hertzian contacting surface for point and line contact.

Equation [2] may be expressed in general terms as

$$\tau = \zeta p_H \text{ and } z = \xi b, \tag{3}$$

where the ratio of critical shear stress to maximum Hertz stress, $\zeta = 0.25$, and the nondimensional depth to the critical shearing stress, $\xi = 0.50$, for the Lundberg-Palmgren model.

Now if the raceway life, L , is expressed in terms of millions of revolutions, while each contact exerts u stress cycles per revolution, then

$$N = uL \times 10^6. \tag{4}$$

In addition, if d is the diameter of the rolling element track on the raceway, then for point contact, the volume of material stressed is expressed as (Lundberg and Palmgren (4))

$$V = az\pi d, \tag{5}$$

where a is the contact half-width normal to the rolling direction, and z is the depth at which the failure originates, as defined earlier.

Thus, after combining Eqs. [3] to [5] and introducing a proportionality constant, K , Eq. [1] may be rearranged to express raceway life resulting from a single contact as

$$\frac{1}{L} = \left[\frac{K(\zeta p_H)^c \pi \xi a b d (\xi b)^{-h}}{\ln \frac{1}{S} / \ln \frac{1}{0.90}} \right]^{1/m} u, \tag{6a}$$

where the constant 10^{6m} is absorbed in the proportionality constant K . Additionally, depending on computational preference, the constant term, $\ln \frac{1}{0.90}$, may either be absorbed in the constant or consistent with ANSI/ABMA (12), (13) and ISO (14) standards a reliability factor may be expressed as

$$a_1 = (\ln S / \ln 0.90)^{1/m} \tag{6b}$$

and Eq. [6a] may be reduced to

$$\frac{1}{L} = \left[K(\zeta p_H)^c \pi \xi a b d (\xi b)^{-h} \right]^{1/m} \frac{u}{a_1}. \tag{6c}$$

Equation [6a] or [6c] thus represents the generalized form of the Lundberg-Palmgren life equation, applicable to both point and line contacts. In the event that the survival probability, $S = 0.90$, then of course Eqs. [6a] and [6c] will be identical and the reliability factor in Eq. [6b] will be one. Note that the Hertzian contact stress, p_H , and the contact dimensions a and b are related to the applied contact load, geometry of the interacting surfaces, and applicable material properties. Thus, specialized versions of Eq. [6a] or [6c] for point and line contact configurations may be developed.

Based on the Hertzian elastic point contact solution (Harris and Kotzalas (36)) as applicable to ball bearings, the relationships between the applied contact load, Q , and the contact

parameters contained in Eq. [6] may be written as

$$p_H = \frac{3Q}{2\pi ab} \tag{7a}$$

$$a = a^* \left(\frac{3}{2E' \sum \rho} \right)^{1/3} Q^{1/3} \text{ and } b = b^* \left(\frac{3}{2E' \sum \rho} \right)^{1/3} Q^{1/3} \tag{7b}$$

$$\frac{1}{E'} = \frac{1 - \nu_1^2}{E_1} + \frac{1 - \nu_2^2}{E_2}, \tag{7c}$$

where $\sum \rho$ is a summation of the principal curvatures of the two interacting surfaces, E_1, ν_1, E_2, ν_2 are respectively the elastic modulus and Poisson's ratio of the two surfaces, and a^* and b^* are functions of elliptical integrals corresponding to the ratio of the contact half-widths, a and b .

Equations [7] may be substituted into Eq. [6] to obtain a life-load relationship, which can be inverted to compute a load, which will sustain 1 million rotating ring revolutions of life. This load is defined as the dynamic load capacity of a bearing component. In the original Lundberg and Palmgren formulation (Lundberg and Palmgren (4), (5)), E' is considered as a constant, corresponding to elastic properties of AISI 52100 bearing steel, and it is included in the proportionality constant, K , in Eq. [6]. In order to permit elastic properties variation in the updated Lundberg-Palmgren relation, developed herein, it is convenient to define an elastic property ratio

$$\lambda_E = \frac{1/E'}{1/E'_o}, \tag{8}$$

where E'_o corresponds to the elastic properties of AISI 52100 steel.

Substitution of Eqs. [7a]–[7c] and [8] into Eq. [6] provides a life equation in terms of bearing geometry, applied loads, speeds, and applicable material properties. The proportionality constant, K , is determined by correlating the model predictions with experimental data. Though Eq. [6a] or [6c] can be readily used to compute bearing life, it is often customary to compute a load under which the bearing could sustain a life of 1 million revolutions with a given probability. This load is defined as the dynamic capacity of the bearing. Thus, after substituting Eqs. [7] and [8], Eq. [6a] can be inverted to provide the following generalized expression for load capacity for ball bearings:

$$Q_{CLP} = A_{LP} \left[k_c k_a^{1-c} k_b^{1-h-c} d \right]^{\frac{-3}{c-h+2}} u^{\frac{-3m}{c-h+2}}, \tag{9}$$

where the various constants are

$$k_a = a^* \left(\frac{3\lambda_E}{2 \sum \rho} \right)^{1/3} \tag{10a}$$

$$k_b = b^* \left(\frac{3\lambda_E}{2 \sum \rho} \right)^{1/3} \tag{10b}$$

$$k_c = \left(\frac{3\zeta}{2\pi}\right)^c \left(\frac{2\pi\zeta^{1-h}}{\ln\frac{1}{S}}\right) \quad [10c]$$

and

$$A_{LP} = \left(\frac{K}{E_o^{\frac{2-h-2c}{3}}}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2+c-h}} \quad [10d]$$

Again k_c in Eq. [10c] is a constant, because the survival probability, S , for the L_{10} life is 0.90, and ζ and ζ corresponding to the magnitude and depth of maximum orthogonal subsurface shear stress are, respectively, 0.25 and 0.50 for the Lundberg-Palmgren model. Thus, k_c can be included in the proportionality constant. However, k_c is left here as a separate term in the generalized expression (Eq. [10c]) in the event that it is desired to change any of these parameters. Also note that the constant $\ln\frac{1}{0.90}$ has been absorbed in the constant K in Eq. [10d]. The constant A_{LP} is the life constant, which has to be determined by fitting experimental data to the model.

With prescribed race angular velocities for outer and inner races as Ω_1 and Ω_2 , respectively, if the rolling element orbital velocity is $\dot{\theta}$, then the contact frequency per revolution of faster of the two races is

$$u_i = \frac{|\dot{\theta} - \Omega_i|}{\Omega_2} \quad i = 1, 2 \text{ and } \Omega_2 > \Omega_1 \quad [11a]$$

$$u_i = \frac{|\dot{\theta} - \Omega_i|}{\Omega_1} \quad i = 1, 2 \text{ and } \Omega_1 > \Omega_2. \quad [11b]$$

Note that in the entire generalized formulation above, life is modeled at each individual contact. For life of the entire bearing these lives are appropriately summed over the total number of rolling elements as discussed later in the article. Thus, though the above contact frequency is for an individual contact the total number of rolling elements does enter in the calculation while estimating life of the entire bearing. In commonly used simplified expressions where all rolling elements are assumed to operate with some effective load, the expression for contact frequency includes the number of rolling elements and the summation, as discussed later in the article, is eliminated.

The contact parameters in Eq. [9] are readily available in rolling-element bearing computer codes. As a result, implementation of Eq. [9] is straightforward. The load capacity Q_{cLP} is dimensional. The resultant units of the constant A_{LP} will depend on the values of exponents c and h . From Lundberg and Palmgren, with the values of 31/3 (10.33) and 7/3 for c and h , respectively, the units reduce to (Force/Length)^{1.80}.

While developing the original model in the 1940s, Lundberg and Palmgren (1), (4) made certain simplifications in relating the bearing geometry and material properties to compute the elastic contact solutions. In addition, they assumed the materials to be air-melt AISI 52100 bearing steel and the values of the exponents c , h , and m were set to 31/3, 7/3, and 10/9, respectively. The result is the following dynamic capacity equation for a point contact in ball bearings with a survival probability of 90% (Harris

and Kotzalas, (36)):

$$Q_c = A \left(\frac{2f}{2f-1}\right)^{0.41} (1 \mp \gamma)^{1.39} \left(\frac{D}{d_m}\right)^{0.30} D^{1.8} u^{-1/3} \quad [12a]$$

$$\gamma = \frac{D \cos \alpha}{d_m}, \quad [12b]$$

where the upper sign refers to the inner race and the lower sign denotes the outer race contact.

The variables D , d_m , α , f , and u are, respectively, the ball diameter, pitch diameter, contact angle, race curvature factor, and the number of stress cycles exerted by a single rolling element on the raceway per revolution of the bearing. After correlating Eq. [12a] with experimental data available at the time, Lundberg and Palmgren proposed a value of $2.464 \times 10^7 \text{ N/m}^{1.8}$ (7,450 lbf/in^{1.8}) for the empirical constant A . However, the data used to perform the correlation are not available in the open literature.

Equation [12a] does not have any input for the elastic properties of the materials, because they are included in the constant A . However, if the value of contact angle is set to that existing under the applied loads, the effects of changes in overall bearing deformation under the applied loads, speeds, and temperatures will be accounted for.

Due to its simplicity, Eq. [12a] has been used extensively over many decades. With the advancing materials technology, the validity of this simple equation has increasingly been in question. Because computerized analysis of rolling contacts is readily available in modern models for rolling bearings, Eq. [9] may be implemented as a more generalized and updated Lundberg-Palmgren model. The model constant, A_{LP} , has to be, of course, determined by correlating the model to experimental failure data. For the purpose of discussion of in this article, Eq. [12a] is referred to as the "original" Lundberg-Palmgren model and the generalized Eq. [9] is called the "updated" Lundberg-Palmgren model.

Once the dynamic capacity is calculated from either Eq. [9] or [12a], the raceway life due to a single contact subjected to a contact load, Q , which is an output from a detailed load distributed analysis under the applied operating conditions on the bearing, may be written as

$$\frac{1}{L_j} = \left(\frac{Q_j}{Q_{cj}}\right)^{\frac{c-h+2}{3m}} \quad [13]$$

With the values of c , h , and m as 31/3, 7/3, and 10/9, respectively, the value of the load exponent $\frac{c-h+2}{3m} = 3$ for the Lundberg-Palmgren model. Based upon a sensitivity study in the Appendix, the values of the Weibull modulus m and c chosen by Lundberg and Palmgren best reflect their database.

Now the life of the raceway will be calculated from an inverse summation of individual contact lives. For the stationary raceway each contact may be subject to a different load condition; thus, the summation over n rolling elements will involve the

Weibull dispersion slope, m ,

$$\frac{1}{L_s} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{L_j} \right)^m \right)^{1/m} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{Q_j}{Q_{cj}} \right)^{\frac{c-h+2}{3}} \right)^{1/m} \quad [14]$$

For the rotating raceway each rolling element applies identical loading condition. Thus, the raceway life is computed by a simple summation over the n rolling elements

$$\frac{1}{L_R} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{L_j} = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{Q_j}{Q_{cj}} \right)^{\frac{c-h+2}{3m}} \quad [15]$$

Finally, the life the entire bearing is computed by summation over the races

$$L_{10} = (L_S^{-m} + L_R^{-m})^{-1/m} \quad [16]$$

It should be noted that by computing life individually for each contact in the bearing and then carrying out appropriate summation over the raceway eliminates the need for computing an “effective” or “equivalent” load as commonly done in simplified implementation of life models. Such a generalized implementation permits more precise modeling of load variation on the rolling elements.

Zaretsky Model

Zaretsky (23) proposed several modifications to the fundamental Weibull and Lundberg-Palmgren life equation, Eq. [1]:

1. Zaretsky explained that in the Lundberg-Palmgren model, the dependence of life on the depth, z_o , below the surface where the orthogonal shear stress is a maximum implies that the life is dependent on the time it takes for the crack to propagate from the place of origination to the surface. However, Because rolling bearing fatigue life can be categorized as high-cycle fatigue, the crack propagation time is extremely small in comparison to the total running time of the bearing for a bearing made from modern vacuum-processed material. Thus, Zaretsky (23) dispensed the term z_o^{-h} , in Eq. [1]. Subject to future evaluation and validation, the exponent c to the subsurface shear stress is kept as 31/3, as in the Lundberg-Palmgren model.
2. The critical shear stress used in Lundberg-Palmgren model is the maximum orthogonal shear stress, τ_o . Zaretsky proposed to use the maximum shear stress instead, τ_m , which in a ball bearing is 30% greater than the maximum orthogonal shear stress, τ_o .
3. The volume of material being fatigued in the Lundberg-Palmgren model is based on the depth, z_o , at which the orthogonal shear stress is a maximum. Zaretsky proposed that the volume should be based on the depth, z_m , at which the maximum shear stress occurs, which is 57% greater than the depth of maximum orthogonal shear stress.
4. It is seen that when Eq. [1] is inverted to express life as a function of shear stress, the shear-stress exponent is dependent on

scatter in the life data (the Weibull slope, m). Zaretsky demonstrated for most materials that the shear stress–life exponent c is independent of scatter in the data. As a result, Zaretsky (11) modified the shear stress exponent to be equal to cm . This makes the shear stress exponent independent of scatter in life data once Eq. [1] is inverted to express life.

Once the Lundberg-Palmgren model is implemented in generalized form (Eq. [9]), the implementation of the Zaretsky model is quite straightforward. Modification (1) is implemented by simply setting the depth exponent, h , in Eq. [1] to zero; modifications (2) and (3) are simply accomplished by setting the values of ζ and ξ to 0.30 and 0.786, respectively; finally, changing the shear stress exponent in Eq. [1] from c to cm satisfies modification (4). Thus, for the Zaretsky model the load capacity Eq. [9] becomes

$$Q_{cZ} = A_Z \left[k_{cZ} (k_a k_b)^{1-c} d \right]^{\frac{-3}{cm+2}} u^{\frac{-3m}{cm+2}}, \quad [17]$$

where k_a and k_b are the same as defined in Eqs. [10a] and [10b], and constants k_{cZ} and A_Z are modified as

$$k_{cZ} = \frac{2\pi\zeta}{\ln \frac{1}{S}} \left(\frac{3\xi}{2\pi} \right)^m \text{ and } A_Z = \left(\frac{K}{E_o^{\frac{2(1-c)}{3}}} \right)^{-\frac{3}{2+c}} \quad [18]$$

Single contact, stationary, and rotating raceways and bearing lives are written similar to Eqs. [13] to [14] as

$$\frac{1}{L_j} = \left(\frac{Q_j}{Q_{cj}} \right)^{\frac{cm+2}{3m}} \quad [19]$$

$$\frac{1}{L_s} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{L_j} \right)^m \right)^{1/m} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{Q_j}{Q_{cj}} \right)^{\frac{cm+2}{3}} \right)^{1/m} \quad [20]$$

$$\frac{1}{L_R} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{L_j} = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{Q_j}{Q_{cj}} \right)^{\frac{c-h+2}{3m}}, \quad [21]$$

and the equation of life of the bearing is identical to Eq. [16]. Note that we used the same Lundberg-Palmgren values for the shear stress–life exponent c and the Weibull modules m of 31/3 and 10/9, respectively. The load–life exponent p in Eq. [19] comes out to be approximately 4.0 for the Zaretsky model compared to $p = 3.0$ for the Lundberg-Palmgren model. Thus, as the load increases, the Zaretsky model shows a much faster drop-off in life. A schematic comparison of the two models is shown in Fig. 2.

The Appendix contains a sensitivity study varying the values for c and m on p and n . For the Zaretsky model, the values for p and n are less sensitive to variations in the Weibull modulus m than the Lundberg-Palmgren model.

Model Implementation

The fatigue life module in the bearing dynamics computer code ADORE (Gupta (31)) was rewritten to program both the Lundberg-Palmgren and the Zaretsky models in the generalized forms, as presented above. In addition, the existing original




Fig. 2—Comparison of theoretical load–life relationships for the Lundberg–Palmgren and Zaretsky models.

Lundberg–Palmgren model was preserved for comparison purposes. All model coefficients, such as shear stress exponent, c , depth exponent, h , Weibull slope, m , and survival probability, S , are kept as variable inputs. Such an implementation eases the development of new values for the model coefficients when correlating model predictions with experimental data. The coefficients in the original Lundberg–Palmgren model, Eq. [12], of course, remain constant. Along with applied loads, ADORE models centrifugal and thermal expansion of all bearing elements. Thus, the change in internal clearance and contact

stresses is taken into consideration when solving for the elastic contact solutions.

Experimental Data

As stated earlier, model coefficients in the original Lundberg–Palmgren model are based on a large amount of unpublished pre-1940 experimental data. For this article, four sets of experimental data reported in Bamberger, et al. (32) and Zaretsky and Bamberger (33) were selected for computing the model coefficients. All of the reported data were obtained with sets of ABEC-5 grade, split-inner race, 120-mm bore angular-contact ball bearings, operating with a thrust load of 25,800 N (5,800 lbf) at an operating speed of 12,000 rpm (1.44 DN). The surface finishes were approximately 0.05 to 0.75 μm (2 to 3 μin) rms on the races and 0.025 to 0.05 μm (1 to 2 μin) rms on the balls. Bearing geometry as input into the bearing dynamics code (Gupta (31)) is documented in Table 1.

All four sets of test bearings were lubricated with polyalphaolefin (PAO) lubricant. Properties of the lubricant are provided in Table 2. Note that data set 2 used less viscous (thinner) oil in than used in sets 1, 3, and 4. The operating temperatures of the four data sets were, respectively, 478 K (400°F), 492 K (425°F), 533 K (500°F), and 589 K (600°F). The lubricant film thickness–to–composite roughness ratios for the four sets were 5 or above. Standard Weibull analysis (Zaretsky (20); Anderson (21)) of the experimental data was carried out to compute the L_{10} bearing life along with a Weibull slope defined by a least-squared regression line through the data points.

Due to the limited amount of experimental data, the statistical variation in both the expected life and Weibull slope is significant. Thus, the data are inadequate for computation of expected Weibull slope and the expected L_{10} life. Therefore, the Weibayes method (Abernethy, et al. (37)) is used to compute the expected experimental L_{10} life with the Weibull slope held fixed at a value of 1.11, as recommended by Lundberg–Palmgren. This results in four data points, which were fitted to the life models to derive the model constant by using a least-squared deviation fit analysis.

Once the model constants were derived, model predictions were tested against another experimental data set, this one

TABLE 1—ANGULAR-CONTACT BALL BEARING DETAILS

Bearing bore	120 mm
Bearing outer diameter	190 mm
Number of balls	15
Ball diameter	20.6375 mm
Pitch diameter	155 mm
Contact angle	20°
Outer race curvature factor	0.52
Inner race curvature factor	0.54
Bearing width	35 mm
Outer race shoulder diameter	165 mm
Inner race shoulder diameter	145 mm
Bearing material (balls and races)	CEVM AISI M-50 steel
Lubricant	Polyalphaolefin

TABLE 2—TEST LUBRICANT PROPERTIES

PAO Oil Lubricant	Test Sets 1, 3, 4 (Bamberger, et al. (32))	Test Set 2 (Zaretsky and Bamberger (33))
Additives	Antiwear Antifoam	Antiwear Oxidation inhibitor
Kinematic viscosity, cSt at		
311 K (100°F)	443.3	60
372 K (210°F)	39.7	8.9
478 K (400°F)	5.8	1.9
Pour point °C (°F)	−37 (−35)	−48 (−55)
Specific heat	2,910 J/(kg K) at 533 K (0.695 BTU/(lb °F at 500°F))	2,810 J/(kg K) at 204°C (0.671 BTU/(lb °F at 400°F))
Thermal conductivity J/(kg K) (BTU/(hr ft °F))	0.12 at 533 K (0.070 at 500°F)	0.12 at 204°C (0.073 at 400°F)
Specific gravity	0.71 at 533 K (500°F)	0.85 at 204°C (400°F)

Downloaded by [96.249.6.92] at 09:58 05 November 2015

TABLE 3—EXPECTED L_{10} BEARING LIVES FOR THE EXPERIMENTAL DATA SETS

Data Set	Failure Index	Weibull Least-Squared Fit Analysis		
		Weibull Slope	L_{10} Life (h)	Expected L_{10} Life (h) with a Weibull Slope of 1.11
Set 1	10/27	1.724	311.3	195.9
Set 2	14/27	1.906	195.7	101.5
Set 3	11/26	3.560	432.2	161.7
Set 4	6/26	1.920	260.9	205.0
Set 5	6/30	1.873	1,640	1,535

obtained by Bamberger, et al. (34) for a set of 30 each 120-mm bore angular-contact ball bearings made from VIMVAR AISI M-50 steel. The geometry of these bearings was identical to those outlined in Table 1, except that the free contact angle of these bearings was increased to 24°. The bearings operated with a thrust load of 22,240 N (5,000 lbf) at an operating speed of 25,000 rpm, which results in a DN value of 3 million. The operating temperature was 492 K (425°F) and the bearing was lubricated with the MIL-L-23699 lubricant. Lubricant properties are documented in Bamberger, et al. (34).

For the purpose of comparison, the computed Weibull slope, associated L_{10} life as computed from the Weibull least-squared regression, along with the estimated lives at a Weibull slope of 1.11 using the WeiBayes method are summarized in Table 3. The failure index represents the number of bearings that failed out of the total number in the set. Data sets 1 to 4 represent the four data sets used to compute the model constants, and data set 5 represents the high-speed bearing case used to perform the final model validation.

Typical Weibull plots of the experimental data are shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b). Clearly, the life estimated by the WeiBayes method with the Lundberg-Palmgren value of Weibull slope of 1.11 is different from the least-squared fit to the experimental data. However, as discussed above, due to the limited sample size in the present investigation and large expected variability in Weibull slope, the L_{10} life estimated by the WeiBayes method is used to correlate the model predictions and derive the model constants.

For a valid correlation of experimental life with analytical model predictions, in all of the five data sets, it is essential to apply life modification factors to determine the corresponding basic subsurface life because the experimental data represents life under actual operating conditions. The commonly used STLE publication (Zaretsky (26)) provides simple life modification factors for improved materials and lubrication conditions; the factors are applied as simple multipliers on the basic subsurface (Lundberg-Palmgren) life.

Tallian (27) presented a more comprehensive formulation of experimentally validated life modification factors; these factors are applied to each individual contact in the bearing and thus the implementation is significantly more complex. In the present investigation, Because life is computed at each contact before summation over the races, no additional work was involved in implementing the Tallian life factors. Simply for the purpose of

comparison, life factors based on both methods were computed for the five experimental data sets. The results are summarized Table 4 along with a summary of bearing materials and operating conditions. It is interesting to note that though the Tallian life factors are somewhat more conservative, the overall magnitudes of the two sets of life factors are not greatly different.




Fig. 3—Weibull plots for endurance characteristics of two data sets of 120-mm bore angular-contact ball bearings. Thrust load, 22.24 kN (5,000 lbf); inner race, forged; material, CEVM AISI M-50 steel; material hardness at room temperature, 63 RC; contact angle, 20°; speed, 12,000 rpm. (a) Experimental data set 1, temperature 478 K (400°F), failure index 10 out of 23 bearings tested. (b) Experimental data set 4, temperature 589 K (600°F), failure index 6 out of 26 bearings tested.

TABLE 4—SUMMARY OF EXPERIMENTAL DATA SETS AND APPLICABLE LIFE MODIFICATION FACTORS

Data Set	Bearing Material	Lubricant	Operating Temperature (K)	Thrust Load (kN)	Inner Race Speed (rpm)	STLE Life Factor (Zaretsky (26))	Tallian Life Factor (Tallian (27))
1	CVM AISI M-50	PAO	478 (400°F)	25.80	12,000	8.88	6.51
2	CVM AISI M-50	PAO	492 (425°F)	25.80	12,000	8.25	6.48
3	CVM AISI M-50	PAO	533 (500°F)	25.80	12,000	8.53	6.39
4	CVM AISI M-50	PAO	589 (600°F)	25.80	12,000	8.10	6.15
5	VIMVAR AISI M-50	MIL-L-23699	492 (425°F)	22.24	25,000	32.19	30.46

Therefore, simply due to their more comprehensive and conservative nature, the Tallian life factors were used in the present investigation to derive the basic subsurface fatigue life, corresponding to the experimental life, for validating the life models. Note that life modification factors are only used to make a valid comparison of analytical predictions with actual experimental data. In all other parametric studies, only the basic subsurface lives, free of any life modification factors, are presented, because the principal objective of the present investigation is to compare the various subsurface fatigue life models.

Based on the experimental data discussed above, the model constants A_{LP} and A_Z in Eqs. [9] and [16] were computed by least-squared fit analysis of the predicted lives against the experimental lives for data sets 1 to 4. Once the model constants were established, the experimental life with data set 5 was then compared with model prediction to further establish reliability of model predictions.

Because the generalized formulations presented herein show sensitivity of life with elastic modulus, the physical properties used for the AISI M-50 steel in comparison to those of AISI 52100 steel are summarized in Table 5.

For VIMVAR AISI M-50 steel a significant drop in elastic modulus with increased temperature has been reported in the literature (38). At the operating temperature of 492 K for data set 5, the elastic modulus drops to 166 GPa. No such data are presently available for the CVM AISI M-50 steel. Thus, a constant value of elastic modulus for data sets 1 to 4 was assumed.

It should be pointed out that in the present investigation no thermal analysis was undertaken. The available experimental data simply report one operating temperature. This temperature is used for all races and all rolling elements. In reality, the bearing will have a temperature field that will vary with applied load and operating speeds. Therefore, a more advanced modeling of life will require a close integration of life equations and thermal interactions. Unlike the original Lundberg-Palmgren model, where the empirical life constant includes the elastic properties

of AISI 52100 bearing steel, the newly developed updated Lundberg-Palmgren and Zaretsky models introduce a new elastic property parameter and the empirical life constants are free of any elastic properties. However, the change in contact load and geometry resulting from the change in elastic properties and thermal expansion of the bearing elements is accounted for in all models. Whereas the operating temperature results in thermal distortion of bearing elements, the change in elastic properties affect the centrifugal expansion of the rotating race. Thus, both the operating temperature and speed affect the operating internal clearance and hence the load distribution in the bearing. The room temperature and operating internal clearances for the five experimental data sets are summarized in Table 6.

MODEL CORRELATION AND VALIDATION

A least-squared deviation analysis between the predicted and experimental lives, for experimental data sets 1 to 4, yields the values of model constants A_{LP} and A_Z as $3.8540 \times 10^6 \text{ N/m}^{1.80}$ and $3.6635 \times 10^5 \text{ N/m}^{1.332}$, respectively, for the updated Lundberg-Palmgren and Zaretsky models. The constant A in the original Lundberg-Palmgren model is, of course, $2.4640 \times 10^7 \text{ N/m}^{1.80}$ as stated earlier.

Although, as expected, units of the model constant in the updated and original Lundberg-Palmgren models are identical, the difference in the values corresponds to the different variable constituents of Eqs. [9] and [12a]. The difference in units of the model constants between the Lundberg-Palmgren and Zaretsky models corresponds to elimination of the shear stress depth, h , term and replacement of exponent c with cm in the fundamental life Eq. [1] for the Zaretsky model.

With the above model constants, the computed dynamic load capacities for the test bearing are compared in Fig. 4a. As expected, the load capacities between the original and updated Lundberg-Palmgren models are almost identical. The observed very small difference is attributed to a small difference in elastic properties between the AISI M-50 and AISI 52100 steels. The

TABLE 5—PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF BEARING MATERIALS AT ROOM TEMPERATURE

Property	CVM AISI M-50	VIMVAR AISI M-50	CVM AISI 52100
Density (kg/m ³)	7,830	8,027	7,827
Elastic modulus (GPa)	203	203	201
Poisson's ratio	0.28	0.28	0.277
Thermal coefficient of expansion (m/m/K)	1.298×10^{-5}	1.006×10^{-5}	1.15×10^{-5}

TABLE 6—ROOM TEMPERATURE AND OPERATING INTERNAL CLEARANCES UNDER EXPERIMENTAL CONDITIONS

Data Set	Room Temperature Clearance (mm)	Operating Temperature (K)	Operating Speed (rpm)	Operating Clearance (mm)
1	0.1493	478 (400°F)	12,000	0.1051
2	0.1493	492 (425°F)	12,000	0.1022
3	0.1493	533 (500°F)	12,000	0.09374
4	0.1493	589 (600°F)	12,000	0.08218
5	0.2141	492 (425°F)	25,000	0.04734

Zaretsky model provides a significantly lower load capacity. This is primarily due to a higher load-life exponent in the Zaretsky model because the life at 1 million revolutions involves a very high load.

Comparison of predicted L_{10} lives against the observed experimental life for the four test cases is shown in Fig. 4b. Again, the small difference in life between the original and updated Lundberg-Palmgren lives is attributed to a small difference in elastic properties. Both the load capacities and predicted lives with the original and updated Lundberg-Palmgren models are identical if the elastic properties are set to those of AISI 52100 steel. This established analytically sound implementation of the models in the computer code. Predictions of the Zaretsky model under the test operating conditions are almost identical to those of the updated Lundberg-Palmgren model. Except for the scatter in the experimental data, the lives for the four test conditions are almost the same. Statistical analysis of the variance in experimental data shows that the differences in lives of the four data sets are statistically indistinguishable.

Once the model constants are established by regression analysis of the above four experimental data sets, the model predictions are compared with another experimental data set obtained with another 120-mm turbine engine angular-contact ball bearing operating at 3 million DN. The material for this bearing is VIM-VAR AISI M-50 steel. At an operating temperature of 492 K (425°F), it has been reported that the elastic modulus reduces to 1.66×10^{11} N/m² from a room temperature value of 2.03×10^{11} N/m² (38). With such a reduction in elastic modulus (about 18%), the L_{10} lives predicted by both the updated Lundberg-Palmgren and Zaretsky models are more than three times

higher than those calculated by the original Lundberg-Palmgren formulation.

Figure 5a shows a comparison of load capacities, and Fig. 5b compares the predicted lives against the experimental data. Note that the lives predicted by both the updated Lundberg-Palmgren and Zaretsky models are very close to the life observed experimentally. Life predicted with the original Lundberg-Palmgren model, where the elastic properties are fixed to those of AISI 52100 steel at room temperature, is significantly lower. Also shown in Fig. 5b are life predictions at room temperature, which are very similar and comparable between the various models.

It is interesting to note that though the predicted lives at room temperature are nearly identical between the original and updated Lundberg-Palmgren models, the original model shows a slight drop in life at the higher operating temperature where the elastic modulus is significantly lower. This is primarily attributed to increased centrifugal expansion of the rotating inner race with the lower elastic modulus at the high operating temperature. Though the original Lundberg-Palmgren life equation does not provide for variation of elastic properties, the increase in contact load resulting from reduced internal clearance due to increased centrifugal expansion of the inner race is accounted for when the model is




Fig. 4a—Comparison of dynamic load capacities for the test bearing at 1.44 DN.




Fig. 4b—Comparison of basic L_{10} bearing lives at 1.44 million DN.




Fig. 5a—Comparison of load capacities at 3 million DN with VIM-VAR AISI M-50 properties (experimental data set 5).




Fig. 6a—Life as a function of speed with AISI M-50 elastic modulus at operating temperature of 492 K (400° F).

implemented in the computer code. As a result, there is a small drop in life at the higher temperature, as shown in Fig. 5b.

Although the Lundberg-Palmgren and Zaretsky models show similar results in the comparisons discussed above, the Zaretsky model, due to a higher load-life exponent, predicted a significantly higher life at light loads and a much faster drop-off in life as the load increases. This is seen in Fig. 6a, where the predicted lives are plotted as a function of speed with the VIM-VAR AISI M-50 bearing operating at 492 K (425°F). As the speed increases, the centrifugal loading increases, which results in a reduction of life. Due to the faster drop-off in life with increasing load with the Zaretsky model, the predicted life is actually somewhat lower than that predicted by the updated Lundberg-Palmgren model. The significantly lower life prediction by the original Lundberg-Palmgren model is directly related to the fixed and significantly higher value of elastic modulus.

For comparison, the results of Fig. 6a are replotted in Fig. 6b with the elastic modulus set to the room temperature value. Now both the original and updated Lundberg-Palmgren models show nearly identical lives, whereas the Zaretsky model predicts a higher life at low speeds.

Load-life dependence with the various models is somewhat better seen in Fig. 7, where the predicted lives are plotted as a function of applied load at the lower speed (1.44 million DN)




Fig. 5b—Comparison of basic L_{10} bearing lives at 3 million DN with VIM-VAR AISI M-50 steel at 492 K (425° F) and room temperature (experimental data set 5).

with AISI M-50 elastic modulus at room temperature. Now the predicted lives with the original and updated Lundberg-Palmgren models are closely identical and the Zaretsky model predicts a much higher life at lighter loads. As the load increases, the difference in predicted lives reduces. At the highest loads, the life predicted by the Zaretsky model is actually lower than that estimated with Lundberg-Palmgren model.

The key contribution of the generalized expressions developed in the present investigation is improved modeling of variation in elastic properties of the bearing materials. Although the changes in contact geometry and the resulting effect on volume of the material stressed are accounted for in the original Lundberg-Palmgren life equation, the empirical life constant is based on constant elastic properties corresponding to the common AISI 52100 bearing steel and there is no provision for variation in elastic properties in the life equation. The empirical constants in both the updated Lundberg-Palmgren and Zaretsky models are free of elastic properties of the bearing materials and the life equations include a new elastic property ratio term that defines the elastic properties variation in terms of a ratio of properties of the current material to those of room temperature AISI 52100 bearing steel.

To more precisely demonstrate the significance of elastic property variation, Fig. 8 plots life variation as a function of elastic modulus of the bearing material. The test ball bearing of experimental data sets 1 to 4 is used for these parametric runs but the elastic modulus of bearing material is varied arbitrarily. All runs are made at room temperature with an applied thrust load of 25,000 N and at an operating speed of 12,000 rpm. Although the elastic property term in the newly developed




Fig. 6b—Life as a function of speed with AISI M-50 elastic modulus at room temperature.




Fig. 7—Life as a function of thrust load with the CVM AISI M-50 120-mm bore angular-contact ball bearing, operating at 12,000 rpm with PAO lubricant at a temperature of 478 K (400°F).

equations permits independent variation of elastic properties of the rolling elements and both races, for simplicity the same modulus values for the rolling elements and the races are used in these parametric runs.

As the modulus drops, lives computed with the updated Lundberg-Palmgren models sharply increase; lives with the Zaretsky model are slightly greater in comparison to the updated Lundberg-Palmgren model but the differences are quite small under the operating conditions for these parametric runs. Life variation as a function of the modulus is insignificant with the original Lundberg-Palmgren model. A very small drop in life predicted by the original Lundberg-Palmgren model with increasing modulus, not clearly seen in Fig. 8, is a result of variation of contact geometry and centrifugal expansion of the rotating race with elastic modulus. This proves that variations in life due to these effects are insignificant in comparison to those contributed by elimination of elastic properties from the empirical life constant. It may also be noted that at a modulus value of about 200 GPa, which is the value for AISI 52100 bearing steel at room temperature, life computed by all of the models is about the same. In fact, the lives computed by the original and updated Lundberg-Palmgren models are identical as expected.

As the modulus increases, the internal clearance in the bearing increases due to reducing centrifugal expansion of the rotating inner race, and the contact stress increases primarily due to reduced contact size for given load on the bearing. These effects are taken into account in all models.




Fig. 8—Life as a function of elastic modulus for the test ball bearing at room temperature with a thrust load of 25,000 N and operating speed of 12,000 rpm.




Fig. 9—Variations in operating internal clearance and maximum contact stress in the bearing as a function of elastic modulus for the test ball bearing at room temperature with a thrust load of 25,000 N and operating speed of 12,000 rpm.

Figure 9 shows the variation in internal clearance and contact stress with modulus for the parametric runs.

Summary of Results

The bearing dynamics computer code ADORE (Gupta (31)), which is based on the bearing dynamic analysis of Jones (19), was used to develop generalized expressions for both the Lundberg-Palmgren and the Zaretsky rolling element fatigue life models. Published experimental data for a jet engine bearing (Bamberger, et al. (32); Zaretsky and Bamberger (33); Bamberger, et al. (34)) were used to derive the constants in the life equation. Model predictions were then compared with another set of high-speed turbine engine bearing life data (Bamberger, et al. (34)). Subsurface fatigue life models for ball bearings were generalized to permit parametric elastic property variation on subsurface shearing stress. Model constants were derived by least-squared regression analysis of available experimental life data.

Generalized life equations for both the Lundberg-Palmgren and the Zaretsky models in which, unlike the Lundberg-Palmgren model, the shearing stress–life exponent in the fundamental life equation is independent of scatter in life data and life dependence on depth of critical failure stress is eliminated, were developed and incorporated into the commercially available bearing dynamics code, ADORE. The following results were obtained:

1. For AISI 52100 steel, the updated Lundberg-Palmgren model shows identical results when compared with the original Lundberg-Palmgren formulation. As the elastic properties deviate from those of AISI 52100, the predicted lives between the original and updated Lundberg-Palmgren models begin to deviate.
2. For the VIM-VAR AISI M-50 bearing steel at a temperature of 492 K (425°F), where a reduction in elastic modulus of as much as 18% has been reported, the predicted L_{10} life of a typical 3 million DN gas turbine engine bearing with both the updated Lundberg-Palmgren and the Zaretsky models is in complete agreement with experimental data. The life calculated by the original Lundberg-Palmgren model is about three times lower.
3. Parametric evaluation of the Lundberg-Palmgren and Zaretsky models demonstrates that at light loads the Lundberg-

Palmgren model significantly underestimates the bearing life. As the applied load increases, the Zaretsky model shows a much faster drop off in life in comparison to the Lundberg-Palmgren model. Such a behavior is a direct result of the ball bearing load-life exponent of 4 in the Zaretsky model in comparison to 3 in the Lundberg-Palmgren model.

Funding

The work presented herein was supported by an internal research and development initiative at PKG Inc.

REFERENCES

- (1) Moyer, C. A. and Zaretsky, E. V. (1992), "Failure Modes Related to Bearing Life," *STLE Life Factors for Rolling Bearing*, 1st ed., Zaretsky, E. V. (Ed.), pp 47–69, Society of Tribologists and Lubrication Engineers: Park Ridge, IL.
- (2) Sadeghi, F., Jalalahmadi, B., Slack, T. S., Raje, N., and Arakere, N. K. (2009), "A Review of Rolling-Contact Fatigue," *Journal of Tribology*, **131**(4), Art. No. 041403.
- (3) Palmgren, A. (1924), "Die Lebensdauer von Kugellagern" [The Service Life of Ball Bearings], *Zeitschrift des Vereines Deutscher Ingenieure*, **68** (14), pp 339–341.
- (4) Lundberg, G. and Palmgren, A. (1947), "Dynamic Capacity of Rolling Bearings," *Acta Polytechnica*, **3**.
- (5) Lundberg, G. and Palmgren, A. (1952), "Dynamic Capacity of Roller Bearings," *Acta Polytechnica*, **4**.
- (6) Weibull, W. (1939), "A Statistical Theory of the Strength of Materials," *Ingeniors Vetenskaps Adademien*, 151.
- (7) Weibull, W. (1939), "The Phenomenon of Rupture," *Ingeniors Vetenskaps Adademien*, 153.
- (8) Weibull, W. (1949), "A Statistical Representation of Fatigue Failure in Solids," *Acta Polytechnica*, **9**.
- (9) Weibull, W. (1951), "A Statistical Distribution of Wide Applicability," *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, **18**(3), pp 292–297.
- (10) Weibull, W. (1962), "Efficient Methods for Estimating Fatigue Life Distribution of Rolling Bearings," *Rolling Contact Phenomenon*, Bidwell, J. B. (Ed.), pp 252–265, Elsevier: New York.
- (11) Zaretsky, E. V. (2002), "Rolling Bearing Life Prediction, Theory and Application," *Recent Developments in Wear Prevention, Friction and Lubrication*, Nikas, G. K. (Ed.), pp 45–136. Research Signpost: Kerala, India.
- (12) ANSI/ABMA-9:1990. (2000), "Load Ratings and Fatigue Life for Ball Bearings," American Bearing Manufacturers Association: Washington, DC.
- (13) ANSI/ABMA-11:1990. (2008), "Load Ratings and Fatigue Life for Roller Bearings," American Bearing Manufacturers Association: Washington, DC.
- (14) ISO 281:1990/Amd 1 and 2:2000. (2002), "Rolling Bearings—Dynamic Load Ratings," International Organization for Standardization: Geneva.
- (15) ISO 281:2007. (2007), "Rolling Bearings—Dynamic Load Ratings and Rating Life," International Organization for Standardization, Geneva.
- (16) Jones, A. B. (1952), "The Life of High-Speed Ball Bearings," *ASME Transactions*, **72**(5), pp 695–703.
- (17) Jones, A. B. (1959), "Ball Motion and Sliding Friction in Ball Bearings," *Journal of Basic Engineering*, **81**(1), pp 1–12.
- (18) Jones, A. B. (1960), "A General Theory for Elastically Constrained Ball and Radial Bearings under Arbitrary Load and Speed Conditions," *Journal of Basic Engineering*, **82**(2), pp 309–320.
- (19) Jones, A. B. (1964), "The Mathematical Theory of Rolling Element Bearings," *Mechanical Design and Systems Handbook*, 1st ed., Rothbart, H. A. (Ed.), pp 13–1–13-76, McGraw-Hill Book Company: New York.
- (20) Zaretsky, E. V. (1997), "Rolling Bearing and Gear Materials," *Tribology for Aerospace Applications*, Zaretsky, E. V. (Ed.), pp 325–451, Society of Tribologists and Lubrication Engineers: Park Ridge, IL.
- (21) Anderson, W. J. (1987), "Bearing Fatigue Life Prediction," National Bureau of Standards **No. 43NANB716211**.
- (22) Ioannides, E. and Harris, T. A. (1985), "New Fatigue Life Model for Rolling Bearings," *Journal of Lubrication Technology*, **107**(3), pp 367–378.
- (23) Zaretsky, E. V. (1987), "Fatigue Criterion to System Design, Life and Reliability," *Journal of Propulsion and Power*, **3**(1), pp 76–83.
- (24) Zaretsky, E. V. (1994), "Design for Life, Plan for Death," *Machine Design*, **66**(15), 55–59.
- (25) Bamberger, E. N., Harris, T. A., Kacmarsky, W. M., Moyer, C. A., Parker, R. J., Sherlock, J. J., and Zaretsky, E. V. (1971), "Life Adjustment Factors for Ball and Roller Bearings," *ASME Engineering Design Guide*, American Society of Mechanical Engineers: New York.
- (26) Zaretsky, E. V. (ed.). (1992), *STLE Life Factors for Rolling Bearing*, 1st ed., Society of Tribologists and Lubrication Engineers: Park Ridge, IL.
- (27) Tallian, T. E. (1999), "Data-Fitted Rolling Bearing Life Prediction Model for Variable Operating Conditions," *Tribology Transactions*, **42**, 241–249.
- (28) Palmgren, A. (1937), "Om Kullager Barformaga Och Livslangd" [On Carrying Capacity and Life of Ball Bearings], *The Ball Bearing Journal*, **3**, pp 34–44.
- (29) Shimizu, S. (2002), "Fatigue Limit Concept and Life Prediction Model for Rolling Contact Machine Elements," *Tribology Transactions*, **45**(1), pp 39–46.
- (30) Zaretsky, E. V. (2010), "In Search of a Fatigue Limit: A Critique of ISO Standard 281:2007," *Tribology & Lubrication Technology*, August 2010, Vol. **66**(8), pp. 30–40.
- (31) Gupta, P. K. (1984), *Advanced Dynamics of Rolling Elements*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Tokyo, ISBN 3-540-96031-7.
- (32) Bamberger, E. N., Zaretsky, E. V., and Signer, H. (1970), "Effect of Three Advanced Lubricants on High-Temperature Bearing Life," *Journal of Lubrication Technology*, **92**(1), pp 23–31.
- (33) Zaretsky, E. V. and Bamberger, E. N. (1972), "Advanced Airbreathing Engine Lubricants Study with a Tetraester Fluid and a Synthetic Paraffinic Oil at 492 K (425°F)," **NASA-TN D-6771**.
- (34) Bamberger, E. N., Zaretsky, E. V., and Signer, H. (1976), "Endurance and Failure Characteristics of Main-Shaft Jet Engine Bearing at 3×10^6 DN," *Journal of Lubrication Technology*, **98**(4), pp 580–585.
- (35) Bamberger, E. N., Zaretsky, E. V., and Signer, H. (1970), "Effect of Three Advanced Lubricants on High-Temperature Bearing Life," *Journal of Lubrication Technology*, **92**(1), pp 23–31.
- (36) Harris, T. A. and Kotzalas, M. N. (2007), *Rolling Bearing Analysis*, 5th ed., *Essential Concepts of Bearing Technology*, CRC Press.
- (37) Abernethy, R. B., Breneman, J. E., Medlin, C. H., and Reinman, G. L. (1983), "Weibull Analysis Handbook," US Air Force Propulsion Laboratory Technical Report, **AFWAL-TR-83-2079**, November 1983.
- (38) MatWeb: Online Materials Information Resource, Available at: <http://www.matweb.com> (accessed March 5, 2013).
- (39) Jones, A. B. (1946), *New Departure Engineering Data: Analysis of Stresses and Deflections*, Vols. 1 and 2, New Departure Division, General Motors Corp.
- (40) Zaretsky, E. V., Poplawski, J. V., and Peters, S. M. (1996), "Comparison of Life Theories for Rolling-Element Bearings," *Tribology Transactions*, **39**(2), pp 237–248, 501–503.
- (41) Parker, R. J. and Zaretsky, E. V. (1972), "Reevaluation of the Stress-Life Relation in Rolling-Element Bearings," **NASA TN D-6745**.

APPENDIX—EFFECT OF VARYING THE WEIBULL MODULUS m AND SHEAR STRESS EXPONENT c ON THE LOAD-LIFE EXPONENT p AND THE HERTZ STRESS LIFE EXPONENT n —A SENSITIVITY STUDY

Lundberg-Palmgren Model

In 1947, Lundberg and Palmgren (4) applied the Weibull analysis to the prediction of rolling-element bearing fatigue life. In order to better match the values of the Hertz stress-life exponent n and the load-life exponent p with experimentally determined values from pre-1940 tests on air-melt steel bearings, they introduced another variable, the depth to the critical shearing stress z to the h power, where $f(\eta)$ in Eq. [1] of the text can be expressed using the product law of

reliabilities as

$$\ln \frac{1}{S} = \int_V f(N) dV \tag{A1}$$

and where

$$f(N) \propto \frac{\tau^c \eta^m}{z^h} \ln \frac{1}{0.90} \tag{A2}$$

The rationale for introducing z^h was that it took a finite time period for a crack to propagate at a distance from the depth of the critical shearing to the rolling surface. Lundberg and Palmgren assumed that the time for crack propagation was a function of z^h .

Applying Eq. [A2] to Eq. [A1],

$$N \propto \left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{c/m} \left(\frac{1}{V}\right)^{1/m} (z)^{h/m} \left(\ln \frac{1}{S} / \ln \frac{1}{0.90}\right)^{1/m} \tag{A3}$$

where N is the life in stress cycles for an arbitrary reliability S .

For their critical shearing stress, Lundberg and Palmgren chose the orthogonal shearing stress, τ_o . From Hertz theory (Jones (39)),

$$z \propto p_H \tag{A4a}$$

$$\tau \propto p_H \tag{A4b}$$

$$V \propto d \times p_H^2 \tag{A4c}$$

For point contact, substituting Eqs. [A4a], [A4b] and [A4c] in Eq. [A3], and denoting life as L (millions of revolutions) instead of N (number of stress cycles), Eq. [A3] may be written as

$$L \propto \left(\frac{1}{p_H}\right)^{c/m} \left(\frac{1}{p_H^2}\right)^{1/m} (p_H)^{h/m} \propto \frac{1}{p_H^n} \tag{A5}$$

From Zaretsky, et al. (40), solving for the value of the exponent n for point contact (ball on a raceway) from Eq. [A5] gives

$$n = \frac{c + 2 - h}{m} \tag{A6}$$

Lundberg and Palmgren (4), using values of 1.11 for m , $c = 10.33$, and $h = 2.33$, from Eq. [A6] for point contact

$$n = \frac{10.33 + 2 - 2.33}{1.11} = 9. \tag{A7}$$

From Hertz theory (Jones (39)) for point contact

$$p_H \propto Q^{1/3} \tag{A8}$$

Eq. [A5] becomes

$$L \propto \frac{1}{p_H^n} \propto \frac{1}{Q^p} \tag{A9}$$

From Eqs. [A8] and [A9] for point contact, where $n = 9$,

$$p = \frac{n}{3} = \frac{9}{3} = 3. \tag{A10}$$

These values of n and p for point contact correlated to the then-existing rolling-element bearing database, which can be assumed were generated in Sweden with air melt-processed AISI 52100 steel prior to World War II. In their 1947 paper, Lundberg and Palmgren (4) stated that their database reflected a variation in the Weibull modulus m between 1.1 and 2.1 and a load-life exponent p equal to 3. It is not intuitively obvious how they selected values for the shear stress-life exponent c and the exponent h related to the depth to critical shearing stress z . It was assumed by us that these values were made to fit their existing values by trial and error.

To determine the sensitivity of the Weibull modulus m on the load-life exponent p and the Hertz stress-life exponent n , the Weibull modulus m in Eq. [A6] was assumed to be 1, 1.5, and 2 with $c = 10.33$ and $h = 2.33$. The resultant values for the load-life exponent p were 3.33, 2.22, and 1.66, respectively. For these values, the Hertz stress life exponent n was 10, 6.67, and 5, respectively. Clearly, a Weibull modulus of “approximately 1 best reflects the Lundberg-Palmgren database.

The shear stress-life exponent c in Eq. [A7] was varied and assumed to be 9, 10, and 11 for a Weibull modulus equal to 1. The resultant values for the load-life exponent p were 2.89, 3.22, and 3.56. For these values, the Hertz stress life exponent n was 8.67, 9.67, and 10.67. Clearly, for the Lundberg-Palmgren model, life can be more sensitive to variations in the Weibull modulus m than to variations in the shear stress-life exponent c .

Zaretsky Model

Applying the Weibull distribution function with reliability S_1 , the function $f(N)$ expressed by an arbitrary life N can be developed by Eq. [A11].

$$f(N) = \ln \frac{1}{S_1} = \left(\frac{N}{N_{63}}\right)^m = \left(\frac{N}{N_{10}}\right)^m \ln \frac{1}{0.9} \tag{A11}$$

where N_{63} is the characteristic life at which 63.2% of the bearings are expected to fail. The conventional $f(N)$ functions are almost lacking in the $(\ln 1/0.9)$ term.

Further, introducing the stress-life relation $N_{10} \propto \tau^{-c}$ into Eq. [A11] and then substituting this into Eq. [A1], the life η in stress cycles is given by Eq. [A12]. Here a_1 denotes a reliability

factor.

$$N \propto a_1 \left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^c \left(\frac{1}{V}\right)^{1/m}, a_1 = \left(\frac{\ln S}{\ln 0.9}\right)^{1/m}. \quad [\text{A12}]$$

For critical shearing stress τ , Zaretsky (23) chose the maximum shearing stress, τ_m .

In the case where $S = 0.9$, $a_1 = 1$, and $L = N/u$ denotes life in units of 10^6 rev unit for one rotating ring, then Eq. [A12] can be written as

$$L \propto \left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^c \left(\frac{1}{V}\right)^{1/m} \propto \frac{1}{P_H^n}. \quad [\text{A13}]$$

From Zaretsky, et al. (40), solving for the value of the Hertz stress-life exponent n , for point contact from Eq. [A13] gives

$$n = c + \frac{2}{m} \quad [\text{A14a}]$$

and from Eq. [A10],

$$p = \frac{n}{3}. \quad [\text{A14b}]$$

If Lundberg-Palmgren values are assumed, where $c = 10.33$ and $m = 1.11$, $p = 4.04$ and $n = 12.13$ for the point contact.

To determine the sensitivity of the Weibull modulus m on the load-life exponent p and the Hertz stress-life exponent n , the Weibull modulus m in Eq. [A14] was assumed to be 1, 1.5, and 2 with $c = 10.33$. The resultant values for the load-life exponent p were 4.11, 3.89, and 3.78, respectively. The values for the Hertz stress life exponent n were 12.33, 11.66, and 11.33, respectively. The values for p and n are less sensitive to variations in the Weibull modulus m for the Zaretsky model than for the Lundberg-Palmgren Model.

The shear stress-life exponent c in Eq. [A14a] was varied and assumed to be 9, 10, and 11 for a Weibull modulus equal to 1. The resultant values for the load-life exponent p were 3.67, 4, and 4.33. For values for the Hertz stress life exponent n , the values were 11, 12, and 13. As with the Weibull modulus, the variation in the values for p and n are within those of the existing database (Parker and Zaretsky (41)).